UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,667	09/29/2006	Helmut Meyer	EIS.010	3793
48234 7590 03/31/2008 MEREK, BLACKMON & VOORHEES, LLC 673 S. WASHINGTON ST			EXAMINER	
			WENDELL, MARK R	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,667	MEYER, HELMUT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARK R. WENDELL	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060825.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, more detail regarding the telescoping profiled parts and innards of the wall must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: Paragraph 2. The paragraph is incomprehensible. It seems as if some parts of the specification were directly translated and the words are out of order and do not make sense.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alizade (US 6273007). Regarding claim 1, Alizade illustrates in Figures 1 and 2 a building (20) in the form of a freight container having side walls (70), a ceiling (30) and a floor (40) with a floor panel (50) loosely lies on the floor (40) and is several centimeters shorter on each side than the inner wall dimension. The examiner notes that the panel lies loosely until it is securely fastened (via 56 and anchor bolts) to the floor.

Regarding claim 7, Alizade illustrates in Figure 1c and discloses in column 6 the floor panel (50) formed with fasteners (anchor bolts and apertures 56) after the item is transported and ready to configure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alizade (US 6273007) in view of Yano et al. (US 5433045). It is described above what is disclosed by Alizade, however the reference fails to teach a telescoping dampener within the wall structure. Yano illustrates in Figure 3 an inner wall (3) and an outer wall (2) with profiled parts (4a,b and 5) vertically aligned and telescoping with one another (see column 3 and claim 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate a smaller scale telescopic dampener (like that of Yano) into the wall of Alizade in order to reduce the vibration of external forces (like someone shaking or prying the safe) and therefore further protect the valuables placed inside.

Regarding claim 3, Yano illustrates in Figure 3 the profiled part (4b) extending from the floor part (2) about ¼ of the distance to the ceiling (3) and the other profiled part (5 and 4a) extending roughly 9/10 of the distance.

Regarding claim 5, Yano illustrates in Figures 2 and 3 the profiled parts being circular in cross section. The examiner notes that Yano teaches a large vibration dampener; however it would be conceivable to one of ordinary skill in the art to construct a smaller scale vibration dampener similar to the one of Yano to place within a smaller wall and therefore would be in the range of several centimeters.

Regarding claim 6, Yano teaches in claim 1 the telescoping movement is attenuated via an air spring between the two telescoping members.

Regarding claim 4, neither reference distinctly teaches the material in which the profiled parts are made from; however it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the members from steel and weld them to the attachment points, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK R. WENDELL whose telephone number is (571)270-3245. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30AM-5PM, Alt. Fri off, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Richard E. Chilcot/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635

/M. R. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3635 March 11, 2008 Application/Control Number: 10/590,667

Page 7

Art Unit: 3635